

# MUFON Minnesota Journal

## Issue #144 July/Aug. 2010

### Foo Fighters By Dick Moss

Where there's feu there's fire. Feu is the French word for fire and this word was used to describe glowing orbs that paced military aircraft during WWII. The spelling became "foo." Photos were taken by pilots and crew members and a small number of them can be seen on a few web sites and in books and magazine articles.

These objects were seen over both Europe and Japan and information about the phenomenon has never been officially released. The apparitions were also referred to as "kraut fireballs."

In 1941 a seaman happened to look up and saw a globe that was glowing green and was about half the apparent diameter of the moon. The object followed the ship for about an hour before it "just disappeared." In terms of what we usually read about UFOs there was an incident in August, 1942 that was not the usual norm. An estimated 150 UFOs were seen to group in tight straight lines, one behind the other, of about a dozen objects in each. The discs would wobble a bit and shimmer brightly from the sun. Foo fighters were often amorphous forms sometimes with no real shape or structure.

A military informant known to UFO researcher Leonard Stringfield told of a massive object with no wings which appeared suddenly behind a bomber. Almost everybody on the plane was watching the object which was in view for about fifteen minutes. A sergeant took pictures and one of them turned out to be very good. But, it has never been released. A columnist for the Los Angeles Herald Examiner is on record as stating that on one occasion a group of four people, including a lieutenant colonel, watched a pulsating red fireball move silently to a point over the front lines in 1944 during the Battle of Normandy. It then stopped for fifteen minutes before continuing on.

During the Battle of Brest in France a UFO was seen by two infantry soldiers. They saw a silent craft traveling a straight course no faster than a light airplane. When he got over the shock of seeing this silent craft he tapped a fellow soldier on the shoulder and motioned for him to look up. When he did so he leaped to his feet and stared at the UFO. This was not the thing for him to be doing. Both men were so awed that they momentarily forgot about the war. For the two of them the sight of this craft must have been awesome. The object was seen to be a rectangular solid like a railroad boxcar perhaps five times as large and it was absolutely silent. Neither American nor German anti-aircraft guns opened fire at it.



### Minnesota Mufon Meetings

**Sat., July 10<sup>th</sup> & Sat., Aug. 14<sup>th</sup>  
1:30pm - 5pm**

**New Brighton Family  
Service Center  
Room 224  
400 - 10th St. NW  
(located 1/4-mile S.W.  
of Hwy 694 and 35W.)**

**PARK FREE! The building is  
designated as non-smoking.**

**See map on back cover  
(Note: The building has no  
special security, so you can  
come and go as you please  
and smoke outdoors. There  
is also an elevator.)**

### In this Issue:

- *Foo Fighters* - Page 1
- *What UFO Hacker Found* - Page 2
- *CE4 Corner* - Page 3
- *UFO Situation Hijacked* - Page 5
- *Britain's Prime Minister* - Page 6
- *Journalism's Dilemma* - Page 7
- *Living in Denial* - Page 8
- *Cowboys and Aliens movie* - Pg 9
- *Roswell Nazi Connection* - Page 10
- *Biggest Mass UFO sighting* - Pg 13
- *MNMUFON Meetings* - Page 13
- *MNMUFON Sept. Speaker* - Pg 14
- *UFO Quotes* - Page 15
- *MN MUFON Info* - Page 15
- *Meeting Map* - Page 16

## 'UFO Hacker' Tells What He Found

Nigel Watson 06.21.06

<http://www.wired.com/techbiz/it/news/2006/06/71182?currentPage=2#ixzzop2t15fRo>

The search for proof of the existence of UFOs landed Gary McKinnon in a world of trouble. After allegedly hacking into NASA websites -- where he says he found images of what looked like extraterrestrial spaceships -- the 40-year-old Briton faces extradition to the United States from his North London home. If convicted, McKinnon could receive a 70-year prison term and up to \$2 million in fines. Final paperwork in the case is due this week, after which the British home secretary will rule on the extradition request.

McKinnon, whose extensive search through U.S. computer networks was allegedly conducted between February 2001 and March 2002, picked a particularly poor time to expose U.S. national security failings in light of the terror attacks of Sept. 11, 2001. <http://freegary.org.uk/>

McKinnon tells what he found and discusses the motivation behind his online adventures in this exclusive phone interview with Wired News.

Wired News: What was your motive or inspiration for carrying out your computer hacking? Was it the War Games movie?

Gary McKinnon: This is a bit of a red herring. I have seen it but I wasn't inspired by it. My main inspiration was The Hacker's Handbook by Hugo Cornwall. The first edition that I read was too full of information.... It had to be banned, and it was reissued without the sensitive stuff in it. [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/The\\_Hacker%27s\\_Handbook](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/The_Hacker%27s_Handbook)

WN: Without this book would you have been able to do it?

McKinnon: I would have done it anyway because I used the internet to get useful information. The book just kick-started me. Hacking for me was just a means to an end.

WN: In what way?

McKinnon: I knew that governments suppressed antigravity, UFO-related technologies, free energy or what they call zero-point energy. This should not be kept hidden from the public when pensioners can't pay their fuel bills.

WN: Did you find anything in your search for evidence of UFOs?

McKinnon: Certainly did. There is The Disclosure Project. This is a book with 400 testimonials from everyone from air traffic controllers to those responsible for launching nuclear missiles. Very credible witnesses. They talk about reverse-(engineered) technology taken from captured or destroyed alien craft. <http://www.disclosureproject.org/>

WN: Like the Roswell incident of 1947?

McKinnon: I assume that was the first and assume there have been others. These relied-upon people have given solid evidence.

WN: What sort of evidence?

McKinnon: A NASA photographic expert said that there was a Building 8 at Johnson Space Center where they regularly airbrushed out images of UFOs from the high-resolution satellite imaging. I logged on to NASA and was able to access this department. They had huge, high-resolution images stored in their picture files. They had filtered and unfiltered, or processed and unprocessed, files.

My dialup 56K connection was very slow trying to download one of these picture files. As this was happening, I had remote control of their desktop, and by adjusting it to 4-bit color and low screen resolution, I was able to briefly see one of these pictures. It was a silvery, cigar-shaped object with geodesic spheres on either side. There were no visible seams or riveting. There was no reference to the size of the object and the picture was taken presumably by a satellite looking down on it. The object didn't look manmade or anything like what we have created. Because I was using a Java application, I could only get a screenshot of the picture -- it did not go into my temporary internet files. At my crowning moment, someone at NASA discovered what I was doing and I was disconnected.

I also got access to Excel spreadsheets. One was titled "Non-Terrestrial Officers." It contained names and ranks of U.S. Air Force personnel who are not registered anywhere else. It also contained information about ship-to-ship transfers, but I've never seen the names of these ships noted anywhere else.

WN: Could this have been some sort of military strategy game or outline of hypothetical situations?

McKinnon: The military want to have military dominance of space. What I found could be a game -- it's hard to know for certain.

WN: Some say that you have given the UFO motivation for your hacking as a distraction from more nefarious activities.

McKinnon: I was looking before and after 9/11. If I had wanted to distract anyone, I would not have chosen ufology, as this opens me up to ridicule.

WN: Tell me about your experiences with law enforcement and the procedures you have gone through.

McKinnon: I was arrested by the British National Hi Tech Crime Unit in March 2002. They held me in custody for about six or seven hours. My own computer and ones I was fixing for other people were taken away. The other machines were eventually returned, but they kept my hard drive that was sent to the U.S. It was November 2002 when the U.S. Department of Justice started their efforts to extradite me.

WN: The British Crown Prosecution Service dropped charges against you because your activities did not involve British computers.

McKinnon: I was to be officially charged in 2003 but a warrant wasn't given until 2004.... In June or July 2005, I was scooped from the street by Scotland Yard. I was kept at Belgravia Police Station overnight. I just wore what I had on when I was out; I didn't get a chance to wear a suit in court. I was given police bail.

WN: When will they make a decision about extradition?

McKinnon: It's down to the Home Secretary, John Reid. The deadline for representations is 21 June 2006. Even after that date, it could be as much as 11 months for him to decide on my fate.

WN: How have you been coping?

McKinnon: God, it's very worrying and stressful. It's been worse because I'm unemployed. I worked on and off in IT, contracting and stuff, before this, but no one will touch me with a large barge pole now.

**The CE4 Corner – July/August, 2010 –  
The Impact of the Impact  
©2010 Craig R. Lang, MS CHt  
[www.craigrlang.com](http://www.craigrlang.com)**

In recent weeks, I've had a number of very interesting - and very heart wrenching - calls from experiencers in various parts of the USA. Several called me at rather odd hours, either late at night or early in the morning (at least early for me...). In either case, when my cell phone rings at that time of night I can tell it's important. Few people would call late at night unless they had a compelling reason to do so. In several cases, the reason was that the person was alone and scared. They had no idea who to turn to, and then somehow, they found my (or another CE4 researcher/worker's) contact info.

More than one person has described how the phenomenon has left them almost completely isolated. It has wrecked their family life, driving a wedge between them and their spouse, alienating (literally) parents, children, friends, etc. It has left them isolated to face the reality of their experiences, alone and vulnerable. It once again drives home to me the impact of the encounter on the experiencer and the resulting impact on the lives of those closest to them. I call this the impact of the impact.

For a "typical" experiencer (if anyone could be called "typical") somewhere out there in the human world, the biggest question becomes; who can they tell? Who will believe them? The CE4 experience shatters the concepts our society holds as being real—we live in a human-dominated world and a technological society. We associate with other humans in a particular culture (one we have been raised within, or have become acclimated to). Yet suddenly the experiencer finds him/herself becoming somewhat like an experimental animal, no loner at the top of the heap. In the realm of the visitors, humans appear not to hold all that high a standing. Thus, the human-centered world we live in suddenly turns upside down and the experiencer is left with a powerful and often-traumatic experience. Some aspects of the CE4 event they may be able to remember. Other aspects of it may present as an intractable mystery - missing time, reality paradoxes, paranormal events, etc... And for many experiencers, there is no one they can tell.

One person, several years ago was scheduled for regression work for a series of encounters that had been bothering her for years. A few hours beforehand, she called me up, telling me she

needed to cancel. Her fiancé's mother had read something on a Christian website about how hypnosis was evil. On the same site, it talked about the demonic nature of the close encounter. As a result, her fiancé was suddenly opposed to her doing hypnotic work with me, or even to speak with me about his encounters. Much more could be said about this case, and indeed I have spoken about similar ones in previous articles. However, the key point here is that the experiencer was effectively cut off from any help. She couldn't share her account with anyone among her family and friends (all conservative Christian) without frightening them of possible diabolical influences, etc. Ultimately, her sharing with someone who would listen to her without judgment or jumping to conclusions (I believe that no one knows enough about the phenomenon to draw a conclusion) was truly the first step in the process of coming to terms with her encounters. (I have no idea whether she ended up marrying the man who was so opposed to her work with me - whichever way she went, I wish her the best).

Another experiencer called me from somewhere in Heartland USA, telling me that she had seen some strange lights in her backyard. She had also experienced power failures, missing time, poltergeist activity, etc. Again, no one would listen to her; no one would believe her. Long-since divorced, she lived alone. Her children had moved on and she lived out in the boonies, having no neighbors and little company. Thus she felt alone and exposed to whatever experience the phenomenon might bring her.

My first question to her was whether she felt physically threatened. (If this should be the case, I usually recommend the person call 911, even for something like a UFO encounter.) But now, she seemed to be OK for the moment, just confused about recent events - and, again, no one to share her experiences with. Thus, she bent my ear for hours, telling me of event after event...

Researchers have noted how the experience seems to propagate down family lines (I most often find that the trend is down the maternal family line from mother to daughter). This was certainly true of this particular experiencer, who told me about her mother being a shamanic healer, her grandmother a witch, etc...

Yet while even experiencing the unexplained themselves, others in the family are often in denial of the phenomenon. Thus, the experience seems to

tear apart families at an alarming rate. Like most aspects of the close encounter phenomenon, there is very little data to make anything but a wild guess, but my guesstimate is that the divorce rate among experiencers is probably twice what it is in the general population. Thus, once again, the experiencer is isolated from her (most experiencers I have heard from seem to be female) otherwise-supportive family and friends, leaving her alone to face the challenges of a shattered reality.

How can we help heal the pain that so many experiencers describe? Probably the best healing tool for the experiencer is the ear. Most of the time, the experiencer just needs someone to listen. The next healing tool is the shoulder - a place to cry, to let out the trauma and loneliness that come with a shattered reality. Finding others who have experienced the phenomenon first hand can be a great help - like minded souls with whom one can share their experiences. Finally, understanding and forgiveness can help - but only when the experiencer is ready (that's another story).

Several times, experiencers have asked me to help them save their marriage or other close relationships. While there is little I can do for their marriage (I'm not a marriage & family counselor), perhaps better understanding of the phenomenon can help the spouse come to terms with their loved-ones experiences. Often both the experiencer and the spouse need to come to terms with the shattered reality of close encounters, a double challenge...

Thus, the close encounter affects all of society, not just those who experience it directly. For each experiencer, there is usually a family and/or a circle of friends. All of them feel the secondary impact of the encounters. It is the hidden effect of encounters I call the impact of the impact.



**Dr. Ralph Metzner tells MU:  
“UFO/ET Situation has been Hijacked by  
the American Military”**

**Jun 9th in UFO Phenomenon**

**by Amelia Crater**

<http://mysteriousuniverse.org/2010/06/dr-ralph-metzner-tells-mu-ufoet-situation-has-been-hijacked-by-the-american-military/>

“You never change things by fighting the existing reality. To change something, build a new model that makes the existing models obsolete.” – Buckminster Fuller quote from Ralph Metzner’s email.

Want to have your mind blown? On December 2, 1942, Enrico Fermi initiated the first successful chain reaction that made the atomic bomb possible. Just four months later, April 16, 1943, Swiss chemist Albert Hofman had what he called a Vorgefühl.

“The usual English word for this is “presentiment,” but the German word suggests something stronger than the laid-back “presentiment.” Something was telling Hofmann to retrace his steps and perform a new synthesis of the discarded molecule, LSD-25. One is free to speculate that the “instruction” to re-synthesize LSD came from a spiritual power which intervenes in the affairs of man to restore order when the danger of disorder has become too great. “

Ralph Metzner, Stolaroff Collection © 2009 [www.Erowid.org](http://www.Erowid.org) Far out, man! Of course, with all due respect to Dr. Hofman, the paradigm-annihilating power of LSD didn’t really explode on the scene until twenty years later, about the time when Ralph Metzner, as a PhD candidate in psychology at Harvard in the early 60s, joined up with Dr. Timothy Leary and Dr. Richard Alpert (later known as meditation guru Ram Dass) and mounted a study of LSD that became a sort of Psychedelic Manhattan Project.

Dr. Metzner revisits the early days of “tune in, turn on, drop out” in his new book Birth of a Psychedelic Culture, a collaboration with Ram Dass and others involved in the early days of experimentation. He recently discussed the book and his lifetime of work in consciousness transformation and altered states at the Noetic Retreat Center.

During the course of the wide-ranging talk, Metzner discussed many issues that Mysterious Universe often reckons with such as the ritual use of Ayahuasca, shamanism and alchemy. Later, reading

his blog: <http://ralphmetznerblog.com/2010/05/14/what-is-it-like-to-have-a-face-to-face-encounter-with-an-alien/>, I also discovered he shared MU’s interest in the UFO/ET phenomena. He had even used the same quote Ben and Aaron read on MU Episode 324 from Linda Moulton Howe’s story about “John Smith’s” face-to-face meeting with ET. In another post he discussed UFO disclosure. Hoping he’d share more insights on the subject, MU contacted Dr. Metzner by email and he graciously replied, offering fascinating insights on the UFO/ET phenomena:

MU: On Sunday you talked about the theory of radical empiricism and I read more about it on your blog and in your “Expanding Consciousness” essay in which you explain how James’ theory would not exclude “anomalous experiences, such as UFO abductions, near-death experiences, and mystical or paranormal experiences. They need not and should not be excluded in a radical empiricism.”

Then I read this quote on your blog about UFO disclosure:

*“Perhaps the most significant consciousness expanding development in our time is the steadily increasing worldwide disclosure about UFO sightings and ET contacts. As the veils of official government secrecy and disinformation are lifted, the time will come (sooner or later) when there is worldwide conscious public recognition of the presence of scientifically and technologically highly advanced civilizations visiting Earth from other worlds. Recognition of their scientific and technological superiority follows logically from acknowledgment of their presence – from the fact that they are here (and “we” have so far only gone as far as the Moon). While this recognition has already occurred for numerous individuals (e.g. like the English Councilor Adrian Hicks) when it occurs publicly and openly, it will be, without question, the most significant consciousness expanding event in the history of civilization.”*

My question is this: Do you think there’s an avenue by which radical empiricism can take hold across society and allow the general population to tap into the “altered state” that has so far kept UFO phenomenon safely on the fringes?

Dr. Metzner: Radical Empiricism is an epistemological position that is taken by many researchers in states of consciousness (like William James, Charles Tart, Stan Grof, Ken Ring and others including me). I don’t think of this epistemological position as something that would ‘take hold’ across society, like a popular movement.,

But there could be a more general openness to anomalous phenomena –for example when you compare Brazilian culture with Anglo-Saxon, Euro-American science–the Brazilians accept that UFOs, as well as various spiritual healing, etc., are real and are more interested in researching it and applying it than arguing about whether the phenomena are real.

MU: What do you think will have to transpire in order for secrecy and disinformation to be lifted from the UFO phenomenon?

Dr. Metzner: The UFO/ET situation has been hijacked by the American (and other countries) military establishment who want to acquire the advanced technological capabilities of the visitors for their own obvious purposes. There is some evidence that even within these extremely secret military industrial groups there are dissenting voices who are pushing for disclosure. Some believe that other countries, like France, Brazil, India and perhaps China, will disclose what they know and then the Americans will have to reveal what they know, in order not to look like idiots, and be left behind with the technology. On the other hand, some within those groups seem determined to counter any disclosure movement with disinformation and ad hominem attacks

MU: Did you know [Harvard-based UFO abductee researcher] John Mack and his work with contactees? Mack's experience at Harvard seems not entirely dissimilar to your own with Leary and company. Mighty forces worked to discredit his research—as they have against Sheldrake and Radin and Brian Josephson. Do you think this is simply scientists aversion to any PSI or so-called paranormal research? Or could it be part of secrecy and disinformation efforts?

Dr. Metzner: John Mack was a friend and I had a great respect and admiration for his work and his courage in bucking the academic taboo on taking UFO/ET accounts seriously. Several academics I know of have lost their jobs due to that interest. John Mack beat back the prejudice and prevailed. We discussed several times the parallels between UFO contact experiences and psychedelic experiences. Both are paradigm shattering experiences. We agreed that psychedelics can reach more people than have had ET contacts (although John thought we couldn't really be sure how many millions of people have had the latter). He also pointed out LSD experiences can be interpreted by the user as unusual states of brain-mind, induced

by a drug, whereas the UFO/ET contact experience is virtually impossible to explain as anything other than an ET contact for the person who has had it.

MU: In your blog you mentioned "John Smith's" experience of meeting an ET and having a powerful psychic experience, his mind flooded with data and images that caused him to faint. Did his description remind you of your own experience with LSD or other consciousness expanding drugs? If there is an overlap between UFO sightings and abduction experiences and effects of mind altering substances, how would you describe it and what significance do you think it will play in the disclosure revelations?

Dr. Metzner: I know personally of several individuals who had had ET contact experiences while in an altered state induced by psychedelics. I don't think it helps the cause of rational discourse about either one of these events to mingle the descriptions. Then the UFO sightings and ET meetings can be written off as drug-induced hallucination triggering a double shot of incredulity and accusations of mental derangement. Although social science experiments can be done to see how they are correlated, Ken Ring did a survey study in which he found there was a positive correlation between individuals who reported being abused as children and later having UFO/ET sightings, contacts or abductions. This doesn't prove that child abuse causes UFO/ET sightings, contacts or abduction hallucinations. Rather it points to two sets of phenomena that both involve a high degree of dissociation (which is both a cognitive skill and a defense mechanism).

### **Britain's new Prime Minister promised UFO disclosure by Jeff Peckman, Denver UFO Examiner May 11, 2010**

<http://www.examiner.com/x-2024-Denver-UFO-Examiner~y2010m5d11-Britains-new-Prime-Minister-promised-UFO-disclosure>

Britain's new conservative Prime Minister, David Cameron, might trump U.S. politicians on a galactic scale. According to a 2009 report by BBC, "David Cameron has vowed to publish any secret files that may exist on UFOs if he becomes prime minister. Speaking at one of his regular Cameron Direct public meetings, he pledged to be 'open and frank' with any government knowledge about close encounters..."

"At the meeting in Tynemouth, North Tyneside, he [Cameron] was questioned about a spate of mysterious incidents. A member of the audience

asked: 'In July last year the respected scientist and astronaut Dr Edgar Mitchell, who was the sixth man to walk on the moon, spoke on a British radio station. He said the American government had had contact with extra terrestrials on multiple occasions and that these were ongoing.

'He [Dr. Mitchell] spoke about the Roswell event in 1947 where wreckage of a downed UFO was recovered and found to contain alien bodies. He said this event was real but was covered up by the government for many years. Do you agree with me that the British people have a right to know if we have been visited, and if so, when you become prime minister will you seek to lift the veil of secrecy and give the public the truth that they deserve?'

"Mr Cameron replied: '...I think we should be as open as possible, so I would be quite happy to give you a guarantee that if I became prime minister I would always be entirely open and frank about these things. I don't think any of us have any clue whether there's intelligent life out there and it is certainly not something that any government should seek to hide from anyone.'

President Obama also promised to create more transparency in U.S. Government. Several key members of his administration are known to be in favor of being open and honest with the public about UFO files. Russia, France, UK, Brazil, and other countries are way ahead of the U.S. with such openness. But the U.S. President has yet to release suppressed files that former government whistleblowers say have denied Americans superior medical and clean energy technologies of extraterrestrial origin.

Campaign promises come and go faster than UFOs. But maybe Prime Minister Cameron will represent the honest and courageous side of humanity more than other politicians have so far.

Uniting the people and political parties will be a huge challenge. But it might be made easier if the new Prime Minister quickly released the UFO files and inspired a global, unified preparation for acknowledging and interacting with extraterrestrial intelligent civilizations.

He should consider creating a new Ministry of Extraterrestrial Affairs, with some of the same goals as the Extraterrestrial Affairs Commission ballot initiative in Denver, Colorado.

By boldly going where no head of state has gone before, Cameron could launch an economic Renaissance to commercialize extraterrestrial technologies that would leave other politicians eating stardust.

*[You'll pardon me if I don't hold my breath. - Ed.]*

## **Journalism's dilemma – covering weird science, UFOs, extraterrestrials**

**By Steve Hammons, May 21, 2010**

<http://www.americanchronicle.com/articles/view/157412/>

Today's journalists, whether they are working in print media, online platforms or both, face new challenges and opportunities. More and more people are realizing that some of the most important issues of the day are what could be called unconventional science or unusual emerging developments.

A large and growing awareness in the national and international public about leading-edge and forward-leaning research and discovery is changing the views of serious professional journalists. Editors may often want to avoid these more unconventional topics, preferring the standard coverage of current events that are somewhat more tangible and accepted.

However, some journalists are willing to take a look at unusual topics as well as the psychology of society and the field of journalism in relation to them.

## **SCIENTIFIC REVOLUTIONS**

A May 17, 2010, "editor's blog" by Christian Science Monitor editor John Yemma was titled "Skeptical about skepticism." Yemma pointed out that "a true skeptic questions even conventional wisdom."

"Journalists are professional doubters," Yemma wrote.

Whether the topic is UFOs and extraterrestrials, tales of odd creatures like Sasquatch, crop circles, ESP or other subjects, Yemma points out that excessive negativity can be a form of denial about unusual or uncomfortable possible truths. He noted, "And that's where we have a problem in rational discourse today. Writing in a recent issue of the Chronicle of Higher Education, Carlin Romano made a distinction between today's

‘science warriors’ and the real ‘philosophers of science.’”

“The former take an absolutist approach to science, skewering everything from the Loch Ness monster to conventional religious beliefs as ignorance,” according to Yemma.

“Philosophers of science, however, understand science to be a set of beliefs and knowledge that at times can be turned on its head, a recurring process that Thomas Kuhn described in his book *The Structure of Scientific Revolutions*,” Yemma said.

#### CHANGED CLIMATE

In recent decades, anomalous phenomena had not received the more robust scientific and journalistic attention that we see emerging today. So, past journalists can perhaps be excused from their failure to adequately cover these subjects. In addition, certain security procedures and activities might have actively discouraged investigative journalists who delved into topics like UFOs and extraterrestrials. This may have been an area of national security that was best left alone.

But that was then.

Today, the climate seems to have changed and is continually changing. Now, it might be more important to inform the public about unconventional phenomena than to keep those subjects quiet under a blanket of secrecy and ridicule. It seems that maybe journalism must shift gears and take a vigorous and reasonable look at the fascinating discoveries being made about human consciousness, extraterrestrial visitation, “weird physics” and similar kinds of topics. If journalists do not do this, they risk losing more credibility and being left behind by not only the public, but by the facts on the ground in a changing reality.

#### Living in denial:

#### Questioning science isn't blasphemy

by Michael Fitzpatrick, 22 May 2010

<http://www.newscientist.com/article/mg20627606.400-living-in-denial-questioning-science-isnt-blasphemy.html>

THE epithet "denier" is increasingly used to bash anyone who dares to question orthodoxy. Among other things, deniers are accused of subordinating science to ideology. In his book *Denialism: How irrational thinking hinders scientific progress, harms the planet, and threatens our lives*, for example, Michael Specter argues that denialists "replace the rigorous and open-minded scepticism of science with the inflexible certainty of ideological commitment".

How ironic. The concept of denialism is itself inflexible, ideological and intrinsically anti-scientific. It is used to close down legitimate debate by insinuating moral deficiency in those expressing dissident views, or by drawing a parallel between popular pseudoscience movements and the racist extremists who dispute the Nazi genocide of Jews.

As philosopher Edward Skidelsky of the University of Exeter, UK, has argued, crying denialism is a form of ad hominem argument: "the aim is not so much to refute your opponent as to discredit his motives". The expanding deployment of the concept, he argues, threatens to reverse one of the great achievements of the Enlightenment - "the liberation of historical and scientific inquiry from dogma".

Don't get me wrong: the popular appeal of pseudoscience is undoubtedly a problem. But name-calling is neither a legitimate nor an effective response.

Take, for example, two areas in which I have had some involvement: the controversies arising from Peter Duesberg's claim that HIV does not cause AIDS, and the links between vaccines and autism alleged by the former academic gastroenterologist Andrew Wakefield.

Both Duesberg and Wakefield were reputable scientists whose persistence with hypotheses they were unable to substantiate took them beyond the limits of serious science. Though they failed to persuade their scientific peers, both readily attracted supporters, including disaffected scientists, credulous journalists, charlatans, quacks and assorted conspiracy theorists and opportunist politicians.



In both cases, scientists were dilatory in responding, dismissing the movements as cranks and often appearing to believe that if they were ignored they would quietly disappear. It took five years before mainstream AIDS scientists produced a comprehensive rebuttal of Duesberg. Though child health authorities were alert to the threat of the anti-vaccine campaign, researchers were slow to respond, allowing it to gather momentum.

Social psychologist Seth Kalichman of the University of Connecticut in Storrs mounts a typical defence of this stance in his book *Denying Aids: Conspiracy theories, pseudoscience, and human tragedy*. <http://www.springer.com/medicine/book/978-0-387-79475-4>

According to Kalichman, denialists often "cross the line between what could arguably be protected free speech". He justifies suppression of debate on the feeble grounds that this would only legitimise the deniers and that scientists' time would be better spent on research.

Such attempts to combat pseudoscience by branding it a secular form of blasphemy are illiberal and intolerant. They are also ineffective, tending not only to reinforce cynicism about science but also to promote a distrust for scientific and medical authority that provides a rallying point for pseudoscience.

As Skidelsky says, "the extension of the 'denier' tag to group after group is a development that should alarm all liberal-minded people". What we need is more debate, not less.

Read more: Special report: Living in denial  
Bibliography The Tyranny of Denial by Edward Skidelsky, Prospect, January 2010  
<http://www.prospectmagazine.co.uk/2010/01/words-that-think-for-us-3/>

**UFOs, extraterrestrials invade 1800s  
Arizona in new movie 'Cowboys and Aliens'**  
By Steve Hammons, May 15, 2010  
<http://jointreconstudygroup.blogspot.com/2010/05/ufos-extraterrestrials-invade-1800s.html>

While the people of a small Arizona Territory town in 1873 are busy battling regional Apaches, hostile extraterrestrials attack and unite the warring humans in the movie project *Cowboys and Aliens*.

Will the foreign invaders try to push the humans off their land using advanced technology and weapons?

Do the extraterrestrials regard the Earthlings as primitive savages?

Maybe the humans have a higher consciousness and are better prepared to fight this unconventional conflict than the aliens expect.

Harrison Ford, Daniel Craig and Olivia Wilde are among the cast members who will push back against the hostile ETs.

Universal Pictures, DreamWorks and Imagine Entertainment will reportedly begin shooting in Arizona's neighboring New Mexico in June or July and casting calls for extras have already been announced. *Cowboys and Aliens* is planned for release in summer 2011. Steven Spielberg and Ron Howard are two of the many moviemaking and creative development pros involved. Jon Favreau will direct.

Other members of the writing and creative team include Damon Lindelof, Mark Fergus, Alex Kurtzman, Robert Orci, Hawk Ostby, Brian Grazer, Alex Kurtzman Scott Mitchell Rosenberg, Bobby Cohen and Denis L. Stewart.

## INVASION OF AMERICA

Discussions about the possibility of visitation to Earth by extraterrestrials or other unusual intelligences, including the story in *Cowboys and Aliens*, often note the impacts on Native American Indian tribes when Europeans landed in "The New World."

Using high-technology weapons and what we might now call psychological warfare, Europeans dominated Indian tribes militarily and obtained nearly all of the lands the indigenous people had lived on.

Biological warfare also played a part, both intentionally and inadvertently, as Indians were wiped out by European diseases.

Some tribes, notably the Cherokee in the 1700s Appalachian Mountain region, intermarried with English, Scottish and other Europeans to a degree, and assimilated into the new dominant culture. Still, their lands were taken from them and their way of life was changed forever.

A century later in the mid-1800s southwest, where *Cowboys and Aliens* takes place, a similar story played out as Apaches, Navajos, Utes and many

other tribes were militarily defeated and the lands they lived on taken by the waves of strange invaders.

Today, Arizona and New Mexico are both home to large Native American Indian populations descended from ancient people who lived in the region for many thousands of years. Some of these tribes also have longstanding legends of star people and intelligent beings who are not humans.

These tales are not only historical accounts. There are also current and ongoing reports of anomalous beings, UFOs and other mysterious situations on Indian lands, including in Arizona and New Mexico.

#### STORY OF CURRENT SITUATION

These alleged incidents and experiences are in many ways consistent with continued indications about the possibility that Earth is currently being visited by extraterrestrial and/or some other kinds of intelligent beings.

Tales of the 1947 crash of a spacecraft near Roswell, New Mexico, still fascinate the public. These kinds of stories also form a basis for other claims that the U.S. government, military and intelligence services have been dealing with such a situation ever since.

In fact, Spielberg's Close Encounters of the Third Kind (1977) is rumored to be partially based on a real-life U.S. operation referred to as Project SERPO that sent a team of U.S. personnel with friendly aliens to their home planet aboard their spacecraft.

A claim that human-looking extraterrestrials are among us was also the theme of a recent story about the alleged Operation TANGO-SIERRA, a U.S. intelligence effort that investigated and captured such an ET.

Also in recent weeks, a new emphasis on these kinds of issues emerged in the mainstream media as prominent scientists openly discussed possible impacts of human contact with extraterrestrial or anomalous life forms.

Cowboys and Aliens might also help us think about the many elements of such a scenario, not only in the present day or even in the 1800s, but also in the more distant history of American Indians and the human race as a whole.

In the movie, the townspeople and Apaches work together, adapt their minds to a rapidly-changing reality and develop new methods to deal with it.

This sounds a lot like "transcendent warfare," a term used by a Navy SEAL officer in a research paper about the U.S. government activities involving human consciousness and perception known as Project STAR GATE.

Cowboys and Aliens has the potential to take audiences to an exciting exploration about these many elements of human and American history, accounts of real extraterrestrial contact with Earth and how we might deal with different types of strange visitors who could be friendly, hostile or some mix of both.

### **Roswell and The Reich The Nazi Connection**

**By Joseph P. Farrell: A Review By  
Stanton T Friedman June 06, 2010**

#### **Nazi Roswell? Perceptions By Stanton T Friedman,**

[www.stantonfriedman.com](http://www.stantonfriedman.com)  
<http://www.theufochronicles.com/2010/06/roswell-and-reich-nazi-connection.html>

Considering how many explanations have been put forth to explain what crashed near Roswell, New Mexico, in July 1947, it should not be surprising that yet another has been put forth. The new one is not just a casual suggestion. Joseph P. Farrell has written a 520 page book Roswell and the Third Reich: The Nazi Connection. It was published in Mid March 2010 by Adventures Unlimited Press. He had already published six other books since 2004, mostly dealing with "Nazi Technology." His Wikipedia article says Farrell is an Adjunct Professor of Patristic Theology and Apologetics at the unaccredited California Graduate School of Theology in La Habra, California. Patristics deals with the lives of the early church fathers. This sounds like an unusual combination. I was surprised to see no reference to Dr. Barry Downing's excellent book Flying Saucers and the Bible

The book has some indications of scholarship. There are a lot of footnotes. He quotes at length books by myself, Don Schmitt, Kevin Randle, Bill Moore and Charles Berlitz, and many others. As a matter of fact I think he has far exceeded the amount of copyright material that can be quoted

without getting approval from the authors. I am disturbed that there is no index. There is a bibliography, which unfortunately is missing several items that should have been cited since they contradict some of the claims Farrell has made.

Farrell tries to make the case that what crashed was not of alien origin, but represented Nazi technology developed secretly (but not used) during World War II by the third Reich and successfully hidden from the world after the allied victory. Certainly a number of conspiracy theories are brought into the discussion.

He discusses the MJ-12 documents and also numerous claims related to Reichian technology. I especially resented the fact that he claims a half dozen times that I worked on numerous black projects, also that I am nuclear engineer...even saying "credentialed nuclear engineer". As it happens he gives no basis for either of these false claims. I would have liked to have known what the basis is for both. I never ever worked on a Black Project and never took any course in engineering. My BSc and MSc degrees are both in Physics from the University of Chicago, which does not have an engineering school. Yes, I had a Q clearance for 14 years and the information that was generated in the many programs on which I worked was generally classified. However, a Black budget program is one whose very existence is classified. For example, I worked at the General Electric Aircraft Nuclear Propulsion Program. The title was on the gate. And the title was NOT classified. Lockheed's development of the Stealth fighter, in contrast, was indeed a Black Project on which about 10 Billion dollars was spent over 10 years without any public mention at all of the program or its objectives. GEANP employed only 3500 people in 1958 of which 1100 were engineers and scientists and only spent \$100,000,000 that year.

The thought is that the reason for the cover-up and frequent government lies about Roswell was that the government just couldn't admit there was a flourishing post war Nazi Reich, headquartered in Argentina, and so skilled that they could with impunity fly advanced technological devices over the USA.

Throughout Farrell notes that what crashed was quite small and did not have the kind of living and other facilities that would be necessary for a vehicle able to fly so far ("vast" distances from another star) and if it had really been of ET origin it would not have crashed. Others have made this same

point such as Colonel Philip Corso. Quite obviously it leaves out the simple notion that the small craft often seen within and leaving Earth's atmosphere would have been brought here by huge "mother" ships. As I have often stressed, we use the same approach with regard to the US Navy. Huge nuclear powered aircraft carriers are home for many dozen small high performance aircraft, which need refueling after only a few hours of flight. The carriers can operate for 18 years without refueling. There are, of course, many observations of huge mother-ships in the atmosphere.

Farrell makes a big deal, as have others, about the fact that the early reports about the crash never mentioned bodies. He tries to focus separately on the bodies and the debris. He also promotes the strange notion that in 1947 and subsequently the US Government tried to push an "aliens- from-space explanation" for the Roswell event to cover up the terribly frightening notion that it was really Nazi technology. I have read a great many 1947 newspaper articles. There was essentially no suggestion that the flying saucers were from outer space. There was no mention of bodies because apparently they were not discovered and discussed in a public way until later. One reason was that they were separate from the main debris field on the Brazel Ranch.

It is of considerable interest that the Eisenhower Briefing Document only mentions two crashes: Roswell and one at Del Rio Texas near the Mexican border. The Roswell debris as discovered by Mac Brazel and observed by Major Jesse Marcel included a bunch of small pieces almost certainly from a mid-air explosion since there was no crater and the pieces were strewn over a very large area. According to the EBD the December 6, 1950, Del Rio, Texas, crash resulted in almost complete incineration. Perhaps it is not surprising that in this PRELIMINARY BRIEFING no mention is made of the almost intact craft recovered in the Plains of San Agustin at the same time as Roswell, nor the almost intact craft recovered at Aztec, New Mexico, in March, 1948. Scott and Suzanne Ramsey who have done an enormous amount of expensive and time consuming research describe this event in detail in a new almost completed book.

Farrell jumps right into the MJ-12 controversy in a rather biased fashion. For example he quotes at length from Kevin Randle's book Case MJ-12. Randle had said that the smoking gun proving the Eisenhower Briefing Document was a fraud was Hillenkoetter being listed as Admiral Hillenkoetter

when in fact he was only a rear admiral. This was supposedly a serious violation of protocol. I had long ago destroyed this false claim. First of all, all the military members of MJ-12 are listed with generic ranks: General if Army or Air Force, Admiral if Navy. Secondly it was standard military practice to use generic ranks in lists.

I copied several memos at the Eisenhower library from Brigadier General Andrew Goodpaster, Ike's staff secretary. These were written after meetings at the White House. First he lists attendees, always using generic ranks for the military including "General Goodpaster" for himself. Then he describes the discussions and signs the memos "Brigadier General Goodpaster". I checked independently with 2 archivists to see if they were bothered by the use of Generic ranks. Each indicated it was standard practice. Contrary to Randle's claim that it was a serious violation of military protocol, it was standard practice. This is, of course, discussed in the 2005 Edition of my book TOP SECRET/MAJIC that is referenced many times by Farrell.

Farrell also repeats Randle's claim that the EBD is a hoax because it isn't listed in an unclassified list of executive orders. Of course it wasn't stamped or noted as an "Executive Order" until years later. I was disappointed that Farrell makes no mention of my review of Randle's book on my website [www.stantonfriedman.com](http://www.stantonfriedman.com) which details the many serious flaws in his analysis

Farrell tries to make a big deal of the fact that General Robert M. Montague (actually Brigadier General) is listed as a member of the MJ-12 group. Montague had indeed been commanding officer of Fort Bliss, Texas, which was where many of the former Nazis brought over by Operation Paperclip were based while working at nearby White Sands. Farrell does not mention that in July 1947, Montague had been named Head of the Armed Forces Special Weapons Center at Sandia Base next to Kirtland Air Force Base in Albuquerque. Montague's son (also General Robert Montague) had told me that his father and General Twining had been West Point classmates. He also said that his father would have been part of such a group because he had manpower from Ft. Bliss to protect the crash site, clean up the mess, etc. He also supplied me with a picture of his father, which was used in the book and later expressed a positive view of the book.

There are many other questionable claims in Roswell and the Reich and many details left out that would alter one's perception of the case Farrell makes. For example, there is an implication that I would have been aware of Donald Menzel's UFO involvement because of his UFO sightings and that might account for my including him on a fake list in a fraudulent document. In actuality I had read Menzel's anti UFO books years before MJ-12 came on the scene. I had also had a run in with him at Harvard by phone. I had been totally shocked, as had almost the entire UFO community by my very surprising discoveries of his very extensive and previously unknown highly classified activities for intelligence agencies such as the NSA for many decades.

Farrell makes the false claim that I never mentioned a meeting between Don Berliner and myself with Duke Gildenberg and the late C.B. Moore, both Roswell deniers. I have mentioned that meeting and the false claim that Don and I weren't courteous to Moore and Gildenberg in a monthly column and in a paper Government UFO Lies that is available on the Black Vault UFO Encyclopedia. I also mentioned the meeting in a column in early 2007.

Overall, I must conclude that Farrell has done a lot of research on Nazi flight technology, but fails in his attempts to explain Roswell as something other than ET. As with many expositors about Nazi technology, he doesn't seem to understand that one can find loads of drawings, diagrams, sketches of exotic vehicles, even though they have never been built or tested. Nick Redfern was wrong about handicapped Japanese being in a Horton Brothers flying wing sent up to obtain radiation shielding data for use in a nuclear powered aircraft. Farrell is wrong about Nazi technology explaining Roswell and the government cover-up.



**The Most Spectacular Mass Appearance  
of UFOs In U.S. History Took Place In  
1950 Over Farmington, New Mexico  
by Norio Hayakawa, 17 May 2010**  
<http://www.ufodigest.com/news/0510/farmington.php>

Forget about Roswell, 1947.

Forget about Phoenix, 1997.

Sure, those were undoubtedly very important events in the annals of UFO sightings history.

But when it comes to the most amazing sightings ever recorded in the U.S., nothing can even come close to what transpired over the skies of Farmington, New Mexico in 1950.

The most spectacular mass appearance of UFOs in U.S. history took place over the skies of Farmington, New Mexico in March of 1950.

It happened for three consecutive days.

The so-called 1997 Phoenix sightings pale in comparison to what happened in the town, not too far from the Four Corners area.

Anywhere from around 200 to 400 disc-shaped, metallic, silver-colored objects were seen in the sky, performing all kinds of maneuvers, making all kinds of formations and simply giving incredible flight show in the sky.

It all began at around noon-time on March 15, 1950 and lasted for about two hours.

For three consecutive days, hundreds of astonished citizens of this town witnessed the most spectacular daytime mass UFO sightings ever recorded.

And it all took place during broad daylight, usually from around noon, lasting for about two hours.

The front page headline of Farmington Daily Times of March 18, documented the amazing sightings: **HUGE SAUCER ARMADA JOLTS FARMINGTON.**

For some reason or other, this incident seemed to have been hushed up quickly.

A few years ago I was fortunate enough to visit Farmington and was able to interview an elderly gentleman who was one of hundreds of witnesses to this spectacular event. He confirmed to me

practically all the aspects of that incident as was reported in Farming Daily Times news article.

I visited the Farmington Senior Center to find some witnesses. Their number is rapidly diminishing.

According to some townsfolk, government agents came to town quickly after the incident, discouraging people to talk about the incident for national security reasons (the Cold War had gripped the psyche of the people by 1950 and the people were quite receptive and cooperative with whatever the government had told them) and may even have bought up all the editions of the newspapers of March 18, 1950:

<http://www.ufoevidence.org/cases/case880.htm>

In the following article, one can still read the microfished headline of Farmington Daily Times of March 18, 1950:

[http://www.alienthetruth.com/UFO\\_sightings\\_famous.php?view=1&ID=1](http://www.alienthetruth.com/UFO_sightings_famous.php?view=1&ID=1)

-from Norio Hayakawa,

<http://www.myspace.com/noriohayakawa>

## **MUFON Minnesota Public Meetings**

### 2010 New Brighton Meeting agendas:

**July 10** - Video from 2010 International UFO Congress: "Melinda Leslie and Niara Isley – The Reality of Government Sponsored Covert-Ops Abduction Experiences." Melinda will discuss how the covert-ops reverse engineering of ET abductees is redefining both the UFO cover-up and abduction paradigm. Niara, coming out after 14 years of silence, will speak about her experiences with military abduction trauma and her process of recovery.

**Aug. 14** – TBA - Video fresh from the 2010 MUFON UFO Symposium

**Sept. 11** – Guest Speaker - Peter Robbins – LIVE from New York, via SKYPE. Peter will present: *"Politics, Religion, and Human Nature: Roadblocks on the Path to Disclosure."* Colorado MUFON will be joining us via Skype also. The audience will be able to interact and ask questions of both the CO group and Mr. Robbins.

**Oct. 9** – Guest Speaker - Donald Schmitt – In person – Mr. Schmitt is the former Director of Special Investigations for CUFOS (Center for UFO

Studies), and has authored several books on the 1947 Roswell crash.

Meetings are held at the New Brighton Family Service Center, 400 10th St. NW, New Brighton, MN. Meetings begin at 1:30pm and conclude at 5:00pm, with a break somewhere around 3:00pm. Refreshments are provided. These meetings will be held in Room 224. Admission for regular meetings is \$3 per person for MUFON members, and \$5 per person for non-members.

Admission for meeting with Peter Robbins - \$5 for MUFON members, \$7 for non-members. Admission for meeting with Don Schmitt - \$10 for MUFON members, \$12 for non-members.

If you have something you'd like to present at a meeting, or a suggestion, contact Lorna at [dispost8-mufonmn@yahoo.com](mailto:dispost8-mufonmn@yahoo.com) or call 320-297-0205.

*[Apr. 10 – Guest Speaker: Kenneth Storch Ken's experience with UFOs began when he was in the military. He has investigated numerous UFO incidents, including the Cash/Landrum case, cattle mutilations, and Dulce, NM. Ken was on several episodes of "UFO Hunters" last year, and has been on several of History Channel's "UFO Files" programs. Ken's work as a law enforcement officer, having worked as both a police officer and deputy sheriff in various areas of Colorado; gives him a unique perspective on the phenomenon.*

*Mr. Storch's presentation at the April 2010 meeting "Proof Beyond a Reasonable Doubt," focused primarily on the animal mutilation phenomenon and the most recent case he is working on; but he does answer questions regarding other areas and cases.*

*A 2 DVD set of this presentation is available for \$10 (plus \$1 for shipping) by sending a check or money order to: MUFON MN, P.O. Box 25, Long Prairie, MN 56347]*

### **MUFON Minnesota Sept. meeting Peter Robbins: Guest Speaker Sept. 11, 2010 By Lorna Hunter**

We will be experimenting with technology at our September 11, 2010 MUFON meeting. This is something we have been talking about for the past year and now have the opportunity to see how it works! Our guest speaker on Sept. 11 will be Peter Robbins of Ithaca, New York. Mr. Robbins will be joining us via SKYPE Video Conferencing.

Colorado MUFON holds their meetings the second Saturday of each month, as we do. They will be joining us on Sept. 11, via SKYPE also. This will allow their group to interact with Mr. Robbins as well as with our audience and vice versa. This will

also mean that when Colorado MUFON has a guest speaker, either in person or via SKYPE, we will be able to sit in on their meetings and interact with their speaker and their audience.

We're excited about this new venture, because it will allow each of our groups access to a greater number of guest speakers each year with a cost-sharing arrangement. We hope to expand this to include other state chapters in the future. This will be a great way to hear what is happening in other areas of the United States.

For the Sept. 11th lecture, Peter Robbins will be presenting "Politics, Religion, and Human Nature: Roadblocks on the Path to Disclosure." Mr. Robbins has been involved in the UFO field for over thirty years: as investigator, researcher, writer, lecturer, activist, and author. Robbins co-authored "Left at East Gate: A First-Hand Account of the Rendlesham Forest UFO Incident, Its Cover-Up and Investigation," which provides a unique insight into the Bentwaters-Redlesham Forest event in 1980.

Robbins began his personal study of UFOs and their implications in 1965. For many years he was the Director of the UFO Media Group for Central Park Media, a multi media corporation in Manhattan which produced a line of respected UFO video and DVD titles. He organized, wrote and directed "Campaign Watch 2000," an eight-month long effort to get American presidential candidates to comment on the excessive secrecy surrounding the subject of UFOs. Robbins has lectured extensively across the United States and around the world.

Robbins was born in New York City and studied art, design, and theatre; receiving a BFA from the University of Bridgeport, Connecticut. He taught painting for several years, and has received both gold and platinum records for his art direction work from Columbia Records. Robbins also served as a crisis intervention volunteer for eight years on the nation's busiest hotline.

Sept. 11, 2010 meeting with Peter Robbins as guest, will be held at the New Brighton Family Center, 400 10th St. NW, New Brighton, MN 55112. 1:30 – 5:00 pm. Room 224. Admission will be \$5 for MUFON members and \$7 for non-members.

## World UFO Day

July 2 is "World UFO Day". You can check out the annual Roswell Festival events at:

<http://www.roswellufofestival.com/>

Jerry Pippen will be broadcasting live from Roswell: <http://www.jerrypippen.com/>

## UFO QUOTES

"Saucers exist (I saw two). They were intelligently flown or operated (evasive tactics, formation flight, hovering). They were mechanisms, not United States weapons, nor Russian. I presume they are extraterrestrial."

-Lt. Colonel Richard Headrick, radar bombing expert, 1959.

"These UFOs are interplanetary devices systematically observing the Earth, either manned or under remote control, or both." "Information on UFOs, including sighting reports, has been and is still being officially withheld."

-Colonel Joseph J. Bryan III, founder member of the CIAs psychological warfare staff, advisor to NATO.

"The Air Force had put out a secret order for its pilots to capture UFOs. For the last six months we have been working with a congressional committee investigating official secrecy concerning proof that UFOs are real machines under intelligent."

-Major Donald Keyhoe, during a live TV broadcast on CBS in 1958 in which he was pulled from the air when he began to deviate from the prepared format of the programme."

"A saucer flew right over (us), put down three landing gears, and landed out on the dry lakebed. (The cameramen) went out there with their cameras toward the UFO.... I had chance to hold (the film) up to the window. Good close-up shots. There was no doubt in my mind that it was made someplace other than on this earth."

-Colonel Gordon Cooper, Mercury and Gemini Astronaut describing an incident he witnessed during the 1960's during a videotaped interview for the Disclosure Program.

For up to date information on MUFON Minnesota meetings and agendas, including special speakers, visit: <http://www.mnmufon.org/agenda.htm> or <http://www.mnmufon.org/event.htm>

## Minnesota MUFON

**State Director: Lorna Hunter (320) 297-0205**

**Assist. State Dir.: Bill McNeff (952) 890-1390**

**Field Invest. Coord.: Craig Lang**

**Journal Editor: Joel Henry, PO Box 240631**

**Apple Valley, MN 55124**

**(952) 431-2426 E-Mail: [mmj@mnmufon.org](mailto:mmj@mnmufon.org)**

## MN MUFON WEB PAGE

<http://www.mnmufon.org> - Joel Henry, Webmaster

**MUFONET: 7.237mhz Sat. at 7:00am CST,  
Bob Shultz, Net Control**


## National MUFON Hotline

To report UFO news, sightings, etc. call 1-800-836-2166

The Minnesota MUFON Journal (MMJ) is a FREE publication available by internet only as a .PDF document. If you send an e-mail request to: [mmj@mnmufon.org](mailto:mmj@mnmufon.org) and I will add you to my e-mail notification list. Or you can just go to: [www.mnmufon.org/mmjpdf.htm](http://www.mnmufon.org/mmjpdf.htm) where you can check for new issues from time to time.

If you know someone who would like the MMJ, but does not have e-mail or internet access, you may print out a copy of the MMJ and give to them as long as you do not charge for it. If you have news or editorial contributions you wish to submit for inclusion please direct your articles or inquiries to the Editor.

**NOTE: Copyrights for the articles in this issue are property of the originator(s) and/or their assignee(s). Articles are reprinted here with permission or are believed to be in the public domain. Permission to use or reprint must be obtained from the original articles author(s).**



Minnesota MUFON Journal  
 Joel Henry, Editor  
 P.O. Box 240631  
 Apple Valley, MN 55124